

Recording acoustic data in the twilight and midnight zones at close range

Marian Peña¹, Santiago Hernández-León²

¹ Centro Oceanográfico de Baleares (IEO-CSIC, marian.pena@ieo.csic.es)

² Instituto de Oceanografía y Cambio Global (IOGAG), Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (ULPGC)

Abstract – The twilight (200-1000 m depth) and midnight (1000-2000 m depth) zones are still nowadays one of the most unexplored places on earth, despite hosting one of the most diverse and biomass-rich environments. Active acoustics is one of the most employed techniques to investigate the twilight zone but vessel-borne echosounders are limited in depth due to signal loss with time. To overcome this limitation new acoustic devices are being progressively designed and deployed at depth. Since 2014, the Spanish Institute of oceanography (IEO-CSIC) and the Institute of Oceanography and Global Change (IOGAG-ULPGC) have been collaborating in the fine-tuning of a new acoustic instrument attached to the rosette able to endure pressures at 6000 m depth. A recent publication presented results from the initial surveys and some recommendations. This article provides further descriptions and recommendations.

Keywords - acoustics, vertical profilers, mesopelagic, bathypelagic.

I. INTRODUCTION


The twilight and midnight zones are inhabited by a large biomass of mesopelagic fishes targeted by many monitoring programs [1]. Recent advances in active acoustics have unveiled the existence of bathypelagic deep scattering layers that are hard to detect from a vessel echosounder [2,3]. *Machine learning* techniques such as unsupervised neural networks allow discerning general acoustic typologies [4] but are limited by the large volumes recorded far from the vessel at depth. A new acoustic device able to reach 6000 m of depth was presented in [5]. This article provides more technical and practical information useful for its deployment.

II. EQUIPMENT

The acoustic device (Acoustic Zooplankton Fish Profiler or AZFP, ASL) is a 50 kg, low cost device made up of a 0.17 m diameter x 1.0m length cylinder and transducers from 7 to 12 degrees (Fig. 1). Data is stored in a compact flash (special military cards resistant to vibrating platforms are recommended). Maximum ping rate is 1 Hz and thus slow rosette deployments are recommended to increase vertical resolution. A 3.3Ah rechargeable battery is inserted within the cylinder and allows short deployments such as rosette casts. A/D Digitization rates of 64, 40, or 20 kHz and pulse lengths of 100 to 1000 ms are available.



Fig 1. Above: Four transducers (38, 125, 200 and 455 kHz from left to right) of the and cylinder holding electronics and including the battery. Right: Echogram recorded at 38 kHz in Cape Blanc (Northwest Africa).



III. CHALLENGES

Vertical acoustic profilers are usually deployed with a vertical orientation [6], although horizontal deployments have been reported, particularly in rivers or lakes with shallow water columns [7,8]. While the side-recorded echogram provides a continuous image as well as information on avoidance derived from gradients with distance to the device [9], it also inherits acoustic uncertainty due to orientation variability. Acoustic devices need to be calibrated in the same conditions that are employed but the deployment of a calibration sphere on the side of the rosette at large depths is logistically complex. One of the challenges encountered in data processing was the elimination of noises and interferences. Although several published techniques are available [10], oblique bands of interferences caused by the altimeter are hard to eliminate [5]. Single beam transducers provide a mean scattering value that can be employed for dense layer analysis but do not correct the acoustic beam pattern and are thus less suitable for discrete target studies [11,12]. Platform vibration also introduces a ‘ringing noise’ area on the first meters of the echogram and may potentially increase avoidance.

IV. FUTURE

While this instrument provides invaluable information on deep waters, the identification of the detected species requires some ground-truth data difficult to obtain from net samplers. High-resolution cameras seem to be the best potential combination but semi-transparent deep species are hard to identify. In order to reduce noise and avoidance, deploying the instrument on a small independent structure is desirable. A deepwater calibration facility is also highly recommended.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aliaga et al, “Workshop on the development of practical survey methods for measurements and monitoring in the mesopelagic zone (WKMESOMeth)”, ICES Scientific Reports, vol. 1 (43), pp. 47 pp, 2019
- [2] M. Peña , I. Munuera-Fernández, Enrique Nogueira, R. González-Quirós “First recording of a bathypelagic deep scattering layer in the Bay of Biscay”, *Progress In Oceanography*, vol. 198, pp. 102669, 2021
- [3] Peña, M. & Velez-Belchi, P. Shaping of deep scattering layers by the seascape dynamics in the Canary islands. *Progress in Oceanography*, 2023, 210, 102953
- [4] S. Mcglinchey, M. Peña, Colin Fyfe, “Quantization errors in the harmonic topographic mapping”, *Proceedings of the 5th WSEAS international conference on Signal processings*, pp.105–110 , 2006
- [5] M. Peña and S. Hernández-León, “Unveiling the bathypelagic zone with an acoustic vertical profiler”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, vol. 200, pp. 104134, 2023.
- [6] M. Peña, L. Calise, “Use of SDWBA predictions for acoustic volume backscattering and the Self-Organizing Map to discern frequencies identifying Meganyctiphanes Norvegica from mesopelagic fish species.”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, vol 110, pp50-64 2016.
- [7] Kloser, R. J., Ryan, T. E., Keith, G., & Gershwin, L. (2016). Deep-scattering layer, gas-bladder density, and size estimates using a two-frequency acoustic and optical probe. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(8), 2037-2048..
- [8] Grassian, B., Roman, C., Warren, J.D., Casagrande, D., 2023. High-resolution measurements of the epipelagic and mesopelagic ocean by a profiling vehicle equipped with environmental sensors and a broadband echosounder. *Limnol. Oceanogr.: Methods* 21 (2), 106–125.
- [9] F.R. Knudsen and H. Sægrov (2002). Benefits from horizontal beaming during acoustic survey: application to three Norwegian lakes. *Fisheries Research*, 56(2), 205-211.
- [10] Marian Peña, “Incrementing data quality of multi-frequency echograms using the Adaptive Wiener Filter (AWF) denoising algorithm”, *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, vol. 116, pp. 14-21, 2016
- [11] M. Peña , L. Andrès, R. González-Quirós, “Target strength of Cyclothone species with fat-filled swimbladders”, *Journal of Marine Systems*, vol. 240, pp. 103884, 2023
- [12] M. Peña , M. Moyà, A. Carbonell, R. González-Quirós, “Vertical distribution and acoustic characteristics of deep water micronektonic crustacean in the Bay of Biscay”, *Marine Environmental Research*, vol. 188, pp. 105967, 2023